Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/532,548	GEHLEN ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624
All Participants: Status of Application: Response to Non-Final		
(1) SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR.	(3)	
(2) Thomas M. Champagne (Reg. No. 36,478)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephoric ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: Part ☐		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
N/A		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested amendments to claim 1 which would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed. See Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		

/Sath V Perungavoor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)